

# Borough of Broxbourne

Annual Audit Letter for the year ended 31 March 2016

OCTOBER 2016

Ernst & Young LLP



## Contents

Executive Summary .....	2
Purpose.....	5
Responsibilities.....	7
Financial Statement Audit .....	10
Value for Money .....	13
Other Reporting Issues.....	16
Focused on your future.....	19
Appendix A    Audit Fees .....	21

In April 2015 Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) issued ‘Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies 2015-16’. It is available from the Chief Executive of each audited body and via the PSAA website ([www.psaa.co.uk](http://www.psaa.co.uk))

The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The ‘Terms of Appointment from 1 April 2015’ issued by PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and statute, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This Annual Audit Letter is prepared in the context of the Statement of responsibilities. It is addressed to the Members of the audited body, and is prepared for their sole use. We, as appointed auditor, take no responsibility to any third party.

Our Complaints Procedure – If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with your usual partner or director contact. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.

A hand with white nail polish is writing on a document with a blue pen. In the background, there is a calculator, a laptop, and a white mug. A yellow rectangular box is overlaid on the left side of the image.

## Executive Summary

## Executive Summary

We are required to issue an annual audit letter to Borough of Broxbourne (the Council) following completion of our audit procedures for the year ended 31 March 2016.

Below are the results and conclusions on the significant areas of the audit process.

Area of Work	Conclusion
Opinion on the Council's: ▶ Financial statements	Unqualified – the financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Council as at 31 March 2016 and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended
▶ Consistency of other information published with the financial statements	Other information published with the financial statements was consistent with the Annual Accounts
Concluding on the Council's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness	We concluded that you have put in place proper arrangements to secure value for money in your use of resources

Area of Work	Conclusion
Reports by exception: ▶ Consistency of Governance Statement	The Governance Statement was consistent with our understanding of the Council
▶ Public interest report	We had no matters to report in the public interest.
▶ Written recommendations to the Council, which should be copied to the Secretary of State	We had no matters to report.
▶ Other actions taken in relation to our responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014	We had no matters to report.

Area of Work	Conclusion
Reporting to the National Audit Office (NAO) on our review of the Council's Whole of Government Accounts return (WGA).	The Council is below the specified audit threshold of £350 million. Therefore, we did not perform any audit procedures on the consolidation pack.

As a result of the above we have also:

Area of Work	Conclusion
Issued a report to those charged with governance of the Council communicating significant findings resulting from our audit.	Our Audit Results Report was issued on 21 July 2016
Issued a certificate that we have completed the audit in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice.	Our certificate was issued on 21 July 2016

In January 2017 we will also issue a report to those charged with governance of the Council summarising the certification work we have undertaken.

We would like to take this opportunity to thank the Council's staff for their assistance during the course of our work.

Andrew Brittain  
Executive Director  
For and on behalf of Ernst & Young LLP

Purpose



## Purpose

### The Purpose of this Letter

The purpose of this annual audit letter is to communicate to Members and external stakeholders, including members of the public, the key issues arising from our work, which we consider should be brought to the attention of the Council.

We have already reported the detailed findings from our audit work in our 2015/16 Audit Results Report to the 21 July 2016 Audit and Standards Committee, representing those charged with governance. We do not repeat those detailed findings in this letter. The matters reported here are the most significant for the Council.





Responsibilities



## Responsibilities

### Responsibilities of the Appointed Auditor

Our 2015/16 audit work has been undertaken in accordance with the Audit Plan that we issued on 15 March 2016 and is conducted in accordance with the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland), and other guidance issued by the National Audit Office.

As auditors we are responsible for:

- ▶ Expressing an opinion:
  - ▶ On the 2015/16 financial statements; and
  - ▶ On the consistency of other information published with the financial statements.
- ▶ Forming a conclusion on the arrangements the Council has to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.
- ▶ Reporting by exception:
  - ▶ If the annual governance statement is misleading or not consistent with our understanding of the Council;
  - ▶ Any significant matters that are in the public interest;
  - ▶ Any written recommendations to the Council, which should be copied to the Secretary of State; and
  - ▶ If we have discharged our duties and responsibilities as established by the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and Code of Audit Practice.

The Council is below the specified audit threshold of £350 million. Therefore, we did not perform any audit procedures on the return.

## Responsibilities of the Council

The Council is responsible for preparing and publishing its statement of accounts accompanied by an Annual Governance Statement (AGS). In the AGS, the Council reports publicly each year on how far it complies with its own code of governance, including how it has monitored and evaluated the effectiveness of its governance arrangements in year, and any changes planned in the coming period.

The Council is also responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

A blurred office desk with a laptop, glasses of water, and financial documents. The background shows a window with blinds and a potted plant. The foreground features a wooden desk with a laptop, several glasses of water, and various papers, including one with a pie chart and another with a grid.

## Financial Statement Audit

## Financial Statement Audit

### Key Issues

The Council's Statement of Accounts is an important tool for the Council to show how it has used public money and how it can demonstrate its financial management and financial health.

We audited the Council's Statement of Accounts in line with the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland), and other guidance issued by the National Audit Office and issued an unqualified audit report on 21 July 2016.

Our detailed findings were reported to the July 2016 Audit and Standards Committee.

The key issues identified as part of our audit were as follows:

Significant Risk	Conclusion
<p>Management override of controls</p> <p>A risk present on all audits is that management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly, and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively.</p> <p>Auditing standards require us to respond to this risk by testing the appropriateness of journals, testing accounting estimates for possible management bias and obtaining an understanding of the business rationale for any significant unusual transactions.</p>	<p>We have not identified any material weaknesses in controls or evidence of material management override.</p> <p>We have not identified any instances of inappropriate judgements being applied.</p> <p>We did not identify any other transactions during our audit which appeared unusual or outside the Council's normal course of business</p>
<p>Revenue and expenditure recognition</p> <p>Auditing standards also required us to presume that there is a risk that revenue and expenditure may be misstated due to improper recognition or manipulation.</p> <p>We respond to this risk by reviewing and testing material revenue and expenditure streams and revenue cut-off at</p>	<p>We have tested revenue recognition and cut off as part of income and expenditure testing and have not identified any issues with classification or recognition of income or expenditure.</p> <p>We have reviewed capital expenditure on property plant and equipment and found no</p>



the year end.

For local authorities the potential for the incorrect classification of revenue spend as capital is a particular area where there is a risk of management override. We therefore review capital expenditure on property, plant and equipment to ensure it meets the relevant accounting requirements to be capitalised.

issues with the classification as capital expenditure.

#### Other Key Findings

#### Conclusion

The Council was required to calculate a provision for business rates appeals for the first time in 2013/14 and developed an appropriate methodology for this. We reviewed this as part of our audit in 2013/14 and 2014/15. Due to the Borough containing a power station and the uncertainties regarding the valuation of power stations for NDR purposes we considered that the NNDR provision remains a risk. We looked to ensure that it has been calculated on a reasonable basis, in line with IAS37, and was supported by appropriate evidence and adequately disclosed in the accounts.

We have reviewed the methodology and supporting evidence to confirm that the approach the council has taken is robust and complies with IAS 37. In addition we also carried out testing to gain assurance that the list of properties provided for was complete.

In 2015/16 the Council prepared group accounts for the first time to incorporate Badger BC Investments Ltd into the Councils accounts.

We carried out the testing as detailed and found one minor misstatement in the consolidation which has been corrected.

As a result we needed to review the accuracy and completeness of the consolidation entries and compliance of group accounts with disclosure requirements.

We also sought assurance that the valuation and accounting treatment of the investment properties held by Badger BC Investments Limited was correct.

A close-up, shallow depth-of-field photograph of a stack of coins. The coins are stacked vertically, with some showing their edges and others showing their faces. The lighting is warm, highlighting the metallic texture and the ridges on the edges. A bright yellow rectangular box is overlaid on the left side of the image, containing the text "Value for Money".


Value for Money

## Value for Money

We are required to consider whether the Council has put in place 'proper arrangements' to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness on its use of resources. This is known as our value for money conclusion.

Proper arrangements are defined by statutory guidance issued by the National Audit Office. They comprise your arrangements to:

- Take informed decisions;
- Deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and
- Work with partners and other third parties.



The Council has responded well to the financial pressures arising from the economic downturn, and reductions in the level of central government funding to local government, but future budgets will remain under significant pressure.

In our audit plan, we consider there to be a significant risk to our value for money conclusion in relation to the deploying of resources in a sustainable manner, given the savings gap of £1.9m over the forthcoming 3 years.

We reviewed the Council's 2016/17 budget and the medium term financial strategy, assessing the extent of the savings plans in place. This took into account the Council's historic record. Our audit did not identify any significant matters in relation to the identified risk or the Council's overall arrangements and we issued an unqualified value for money conclusion on 21 July 2016.





Other Reporting  
Issues

## Other Reporting Issues

### Whole of Government Accounts

The Council is below the specified audit threshold of £350 million. Therefore, we did not perform any audit procedures on the consolidation pack.

### Annual Governance Statement

We are required to consider the completeness of disclosures in the Council's annual governance statement, identify any inconsistencies with the other information of which we are aware from our work, and consider whether it is misleading.

We completed this work and did not identify any areas of concern

### Report in the Public Interest

We have a duty under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to consider whether, in the public interest, to report on any matter that comes to our attention in the course of the audit in order for it to be considered by the Council or brought to the attention of the public.

We did not identify any issues which required us to issue a report in the public interest.

### Written Recommendations

We have a duty under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to designate any audit recommendation as one that requires the Council to consider it at a public meeting and to decide what action to take in response.

We did not identify any issues which required us to issue a written recommendation.

### Objections Received

We did not receive any objections to the 2015/16 financial statements from member of the public.

### Other Powers and Duties

We identified no issues during our audit that required us to use our additional powers under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

## Independence

We communicated our assessment of independence in our Audit Results Report to the Audit and Standards Committee on 21 July 2016. In our professional judgement the firm is independent and the objectivity of the audit engagement partner and audit staff has not been compromised within the meaning regulatory and professional requirements.

## Control Themes and Observations

We have adopted a fully substantive approach and have therefore not tested the operation of controls.

A close-up photograph of a person's face as they look through a pair of black binoculars. The person's eyes are focused on the lenses, and their hands are visible holding the device. The background is a soft, out-of-focus white. A bright yellow rectangular box is overlaid on the left side of the image, containing the text "Focused on your future".

Focused on your  
future



## Focused on your future

Area	Issue	Impact
<p>Earlier deadline for production and audit of the financial statements from 2017/18</p>	<p>The Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 were laid before Parliament in February 2015. A key change in the regulations is that from the 2017/18 financial year the timetable for the preparation and approval of accounts will be brought forward. As a result, the Council will need to produce draft accounts by 31 May and these accounts will need to be audited by 31 July in 2018.</p>	<p>These changes provide challenges for both the preparers and the auditors of the financial statements. The Council is aware of this challenge and is well prepared given performance in previous years, but the need remains to plan for the impact of these changes. This will include the need to review the current processes for the production of the accounts and the associated supporting working papers, including areas such as the production of estimates, particularly in relation to pensions and the valuation of assets, and the year-end closure processes.</p>
<p>Appointment of auditors</p>	<p>The current audit contracts expire on the completion of the 2017/18 audit. The expiry of contracts also marks the end of the current mandatory regime for auditor appointments. After this, the Council can exercise choice about whether it decides to opt in to the authorised national scheme, or whether to make other arrangements to appoint its own auditors. In July 2016, the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government specified Public Sector Audit Appointments limited (PSAA) as an appointing person under regulation 3 of the Local Audit (Appointing Person) Regulations 2015. PSAA will be able to appoint an auditor to relevant authorities that choose to opt into its national collective scheme.</p>	<p>Appointment of auditors for the 2018/19 financial year is required by 31 December 2017. The council should consider whether they intend to opt into the appointed person scheme to appoint your auditors from 2018/19 or if the council should make its own arrangements following the legislative requirements.</p>

Appendix A

## Audit Fees



## Appendix A Audit Fees

Our fee for 2015/16 is as follows.

Description	Final Fee 2015/16 £	Planned Fee 2015/16 £	Scale Fee 2015/16 £	Final Fee 2014/15 £
Total Audit Fee – Code work	51,075	46,391	46,391	62,574
Total Audit Fee – Certification of claims and returns*	TBC	10,487	10,487	19,210

*\*Our certification of the Housing Benefit claim will be completed to the 30 November 2016 deadline, and the final fee concluded at that time.*

The variation to the scale fee identified above is due to the impact of group accounts being prepared for the consolidation of Badger BC Investments Limited. The increase to scale fee of £4,684 has been agreed with management and is subject to approval by PSAA.

We confirm we have not undertaken any non-audit work outside of the PSAA's requirements.

EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

Ernst & Young LLP

© Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK.  
All Rights Reserved.

ED None

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

[ey.com](https://www.ey.com)